UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/628,282 | 07/25/2003 | Michael F. Shapiro | 089477.00002 | 4718 |
| | 7590 03/14/200 PATTERSON, P.C. | | EXAMINER | |
| | N STREET, SUITE 500 | 0 | WILLIAMS, JEFFERY L | |
| NASH VILLE, | 11N 37203 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2137 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 03/14/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| 10/628,282 | SHAPIRO, MICHAEL F. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| JEFFERY WILLIAMS | 2137 | | |

| | JEFFERY WILLIAMS | 2137 | |
|---|--|--|-------------------------------|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 22 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO | R ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth | • | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the control of the | ·). | | |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | hortened statutory period for reply original | nally set in the final Offic | e action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl | liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t | filed within two months | of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b | out prior to the data of filing a brief | will not be entered be | Called |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | nsideration and/or search (see NOT | | cause |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or | • | ducing or simplifying th | ne issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | corresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment (F | PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | owable if submitted in a separate, t | imely filed amendmer | t canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | l be entered and an ex | xplanation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fails | to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attache | ed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowand | ce because: |
| 12. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (| | | |
| 13. Other: Claim amendments (i.e. "permanently attached" consideration. | ' as in claim 1) raise new issues tha | at will require further s | earch and/or |
| /Emmanuel L. Moise/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2137 | | | |